

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER POR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassackin, Virginia 22313-1450 www.oepic.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/568,403	02/13/2006	Stanley R. Pearson	16515.4001	7638	
34313 7590 02/02/2011 ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE, LLP			EXAM	EXAMINER	
IP PROSECUTION DEPARTMENT			VALENROD, YEVGENY		
4 PARK PLAZA SUITE 1600			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
IRVINE, CA 92614-2558			1621		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/02/2011	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/568,403	PEARSON, STANLEY R.	
Examiner	Art Unit	-
YEVEGENY VALENROD	1621	

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE @MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be audiable under the provisions of 37 CPT 1.1366, In no event however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the making date of this communication. If NO period or reply is appetited above, the maximum alkitudy period will apply and will replic SIX (6) MONTHS from the malling date of this communication. If NO period or reply is appetited above, the maximum alkitudy period will apply and will replic SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the maximum alkitude period was the application to become AdMONDED, (5) SIX (5) EXIS. Any reply received by the Office later than free morths after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned pattern term adjustment. See 37 CPE1 17040.				
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 October 2010. 2a] This action is FINAL. 2b] This action is FINAL. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims				
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 45-48 and 58-69 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) 45-48 and 58-69 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filled onis/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b Some *c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				

Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)					
2) Notice of Eroffsourson's Patent Drawing Seriew (PTO-942)					

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/23/10.

	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.
5)	Notice of Informal Patent Application
6)	Other:

Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20110118

Art Unit: 1621

DETAILED ACTION

Obviousness double patenting rejection of claims 45-48 and 58-60 is withdrawn in view of the approved terminal disclaimer filed 10/13/10

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior at are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 45-48 and 58-60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Purdy et al. (US 4,497,637)

Scope of prior art

Purdy et al teach a method of producing syngas from biomass. Their described process involves gasification of char (which is a solid organic material based feedstock) obtained from a pyrolyzer. The char is added to the gasifier together with superheated steam, which meets the instant limitation directed to addition of feedstock at an elevated temperature (column 8, lines 32-34). Purdy et al also teach a temperature range of 1700degF – 2700degF (column 6, lines45-46). Most importantly Purdy teach "Residence times and temperatures are selected so as to achieve essentially complete gasification of the char." (column 6, lines 48-50)

Ascertaining the difference between prior art and instant claims

Application/Control Number: 10/568,403

Art Unit: 1621

Purdy et al teach production of syngas from a solid feedstock, however they fail to teach the specific limitations directed to exit temperature and contact time.

Obviousness

One skilled in the art would find it obvious to alter temperature and residence times in the process of Purdy in order to determine optimal parameters of the process. Motivation for trying different temperatures and residence times is provided by Purdy in column 6, lines 48-50, which indicates that those variables were recognized in the art to be result effective at the time the invention was made. "[W]here the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, it is not inventive to discover the optimum or workable ranges by routine experimentation." In re Aller, 220 F.2d 454, 456, 105 USPQ 233, 235 (CCPA 1955). The residence time referred to by Purdy is interpreted to have the same meaning as the term "contact time" found in the instant claims.

Conclusion

Claims 45-48 and 58-60 are pending.

Claims 45-48 and 58-60 are rejected.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yevgeny Valenrod whose telephone number is 571-272-9049. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am-5:00pm M-F.

Art Unit: 1621

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel Sullivan can be reached on 571-272-0779. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Yevgeny Valenrod/

Yevgeny Valenrod Patent Examiner Technology Center 1600

/Daniel M Sullivan/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1621